| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/749,547 | LOMBARDO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Robert G. Santos | 3673 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) Robert G. Santos. | (3) | |
| (2) Warren S. Edmonds. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 February 2005 | Time: <u>11:30 a.m.</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | · | |
| Claims discussed: 6, 11-13 and 18 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| Mr. Edmonds and the examiner agreed to the changes to claims 6, 11-13 and 18 as described in the examiner's amendment in order to place the application in full and proper condition for allowance | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Let & Santos | | <u> </u> |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |